

README FILE FOR THE 2002 SF PORT AREA CONTINGENCY PLAN

The San Francisco Port Area has geographical responsibility for the northern California coastline from the southern Monterey County line to the Oregon border. This includes the immediately adjacent bays and estuaries, including San Francisco Bay and adjoining Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta to Sacramento and Stockton. Precise geographic definitions are included in the text.

This Port area is subdivided into three coastal zones with respective local Area Committees: The North Coast Area Committee (ACP1) includes the marine environment of Del Norte, Humboldt, and Mendocino Counties; the San Francisco Area Committee (ACP2) includes the coastal counties of Sonoma, Marin, San Francisco, and San Mateo and additional counties on and in San Francisco Bay and Suisun Bay and the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta: Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, Solano, Napa, Yolo, Sacramento, and San Joaquin; The Central Coast Area Committee (ACP 3) includes Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties.

The common portions of these areas are consolidated into Volume I, which is organized by relevance to Incident Command System (ICS) structure. Due to 9-11 events and related focus on security, scheduled the scheduled 2002 Volume I update was postponed to 2005, and it remains unchanged from the 2000 revision except that any security sensitive material has been expunged. Current Volume I files are listed by their respective ICS function in the Field Operations Guide:

2000SFACPIIntroVOL1.pdf	Preface to ACP Volume 1
2000SFACPs1000.pdf	Section 1000 Introduction
2000SFACPs2000.pdf	Section 2000 Command
2000SFACPs3000.pdf	Section 3000 Operations
2000SFACPs4000.pdf	Section 4000 Planning
2000SFACPs5000.pdf	Section 5000 Logistics
2000SFACPs5500.pdf	Section 5500 Area Resources/Response Equipment
2000SFACPs6000.pdf	Section 6000 Finance / Administration
2000SFACPs7000.pdf	Section 7000 Hazardous Materials
2000SFACPs8000.pdf	Section 8000 Fire Fighting
2000SFACPs9000.pdf	Section 9000 Area Planning / Documentation

Volume II contains specific details with local geographic bearing generated by each of the local Area Committees (ACP1, ACP2, and ACP3). The schedule 2002 revision was only partially completed. Volume II is quite large because of response details about sensitive site protection concerns and strategies (“sensitive sites” is a term synonymous with “grps” used in other state’s area plans). Volume II has sections devoted to each of the three coastal zones, and a separate section devoted to economic and cultural/historic concerns for all three ACPs in a separate file: **2002SFEconCults9975.pdf**. Introduction and table of contents are also in a separate file: **2002SFACpVol2INTRO.pdf**.

Details by ACP

North Coast ACP 1


ACP 1 spill response planning detail is included in Section 9972 of the Area Plan and may be found in **SF2002ACP1s9972.pdf**. This volume includes 86 sensitive sites and cultural concerns identified by the Area Committee. In this publication of the site information and protection strategies for the Humboldt County (subsection 9972.3), 13 (of the 86 total) sensitive sites south of Humboldt Bay were inadvertently deleted during ACP document preparation. To amend this

loss, information was recaptured and included from the 1998 ACP version. Sites affected include the following:

NC-041-A South Spit
NC-042-A Eel River
NC-046-A Centerville Beach
NC-047-A False Cape Rock
NC-048-B Bear River
NC-049-A Cape Mendocino
NC-050-A Steamboat Rock
NC-051-C Capetown
NC-052-B Hair Seal Rock
NC-053-A Mattole River
NC-054-A Cooskie Creek
NC-055-C Shubrick Peak
NC-056-A Shelter Cove & Cormorant Hotel

San Francisco ACP 2

ACP 2 covers an extensive region and includes about 250 sensitive sites. The local area plan information is broken into several separate PDF files to facilitate planning, response, and updates. These sub-regions are referred to as Geographic Response Areas (GRAs, and also referred to as GRPs). The outer coast is GRA 1 including north, central, and south sub-groupings. San Francisco Bay (including San Pablo and Suisun Bay) has four GRAs, and the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta has four GRAs. Geographic Response Areas (GRPs) are shown in the figure below:



Please note that there is an introduction and to ACP 2 which explains acronyms and procedures used in developing sensitive site strategies. (see **2002Acp2Intro.pdf**)

ACP 2 Changes in 2002 Revision

Below are comments about the updates for 2002 by GRA (and respective PDF).

GRA 1: Outer Coast (contact Carl Jochums 707 864-4907) - 2002SFACPGRA1.pdf

Few significant changes were made in this GRA from 2000, except for Tomales Bay. A Site Information and Spill Response Strategy survey of Tomales Bay (SISRS Survey) was undertaken in 2001. All strategies were revised and rewritten.

GRA 2: South Bay (contact Carl Jochums 707 864-4907) - 2002SFACPGRA2.pdf

No changes were made since 2000. A major revision is expected in 2005.

GRA 2: Anchorage 9 (contact: Kathleen Jennings 707-864-4938) - 2002SFACPGRA3.pdf

No significant changes were included. New strategy diagrams were inserted. Site 2-400 was split at the Bay Bridge to reflect locations in each GRA: 2-400 and 2-350.

GRA 4: Central Bay (contact: Carl Jochums 707-864-4907) - 2002SFACPGRA4.pdf

All sites have new strategy diagrams, and several significant changes were made:

Site 2-400 was split (as indicated above) at the Bay Bridge to reflect locations in each GRA: 2-400 and 2-350.

2-401 Pier 39 - some boom lengths were changed as a result of a site exercise.

2-420 Richardson's Bay - length and boom positioning was revised as a result of Clean Bay deployment.

2-421, 2-423, and 2-424 had alterations in ecological sensitivity levels and minor adjustments to booming strategies.

2-452 Richmond Eelgrass Beds had corrections in boom lengths due to better estimates from GIS.

2-453 Brooks Island was significantly changed: the primary strategy for protection change to a different boom configuration with length reduction of about 2000 feet.

2-454 Hoffman Marsh strategies were significantly revised to correctly reflect topography and improved exclusions.

2-455 Santa Fe Channel was changed from an environmental sensitivity to a collection strategy to contain and confine releases.

2-456 Berkeley Eelgrass Beds was significantly changed in that a) primary response is to determine if eelgrass beds are actually at risk during the tidal elevations of a spill event before deployments are effected, and b) the length of boom was reduced from 10000 feet to 5000 feet.

2-457 Emeryville Lagoon had corrections to boom lengths due to better estimates.

GRA 5 San Pablo Bay (contact David Price 707 864-4905) - 2002SFACPGRA5.pdf

There were no substantive changes. There was some regrouping of strategies into substrategies and improvement of access detail for 2-501 Castro Creek and Cove and 2-503 Pt. Pinole Marshes South. Also there were minor substrategy alterations to 2-552 China Camp Marshes. New strategy diagrams were included.

GRA 6 Suisun Bay (contact Carl Jochums 707 864-4907) - 2002SFACPGRA6.pdf

There were no significant changes. New diagrams were included. There were minor changes to 2-631 Roe Island and 2-632 to reflect oversights and lessons learned from a Clean Bay deployment. There was also some modification to update 2-601 Martinez Marsh.

[GRA 7 West Delta \(contact Carl Jochums 707 864-4907\) - 2002SFACPGRA7.pdf](#)

No Changes were made.

[GRA 8 North Delta \(contact Carl Jochums 707 864-4907\) - 2002SFACPGRA8.pdf](#)

No Changes were made.

[GRA 9 South Delta \(contact John Tarpley 707-864-4906 or Carl Jochums 707 864-4907\) - 2002SFACPGRA9.pdf](#)

New strategy diagrams were inserted. There were a number of text changes as a result of QA/AC review of previous work (conversion from hard copy to database in previous years.)

[GRA 10 East Delta \(contact Carl Jochums 707 864-4907\) - 2002SFACPGRA10.pdf](#)

No Changes were made.

Monterey Central Coast ACP 3

ACP 3 includes Santa Cruz County and Monterey County. There were no dramatic changes. For Elkhorn Slough, better diagrams were included to allow responders to have a better picture of marshes at risk. (see [2002ACP3s9974.pdf](#)). Questions may be directed to Larry Espinosa at 831-649-2888.